# **Refraction Seismic**

# Different layer cases

### Multiple Refraction

- Several head waves.
- Evaluation becomes more complicated, but without principal problems.
- Important limitation: Only interfaces where the velocity increases towards to lower layer can be detected (also applies to the case of two layers).

### Geometry of 3-layer refraction



 $V_3$ 

### Multiple Refractions Seismogram







### Multiple Refractions and Hidde Layers



### a) Intermediate low-velocity layer



TWT (ms)

### a) Intermediate low-velocity layer

Distance (m)	Direct wave (ms)	Interface 2 Refraction (ms)	V1 (m/s):	1000
			V2 (m/s):	500
10	10,0	51,9	V3 (m/s):	4000
20	20,0	54,4	h1 (m):	5
30	30,0	56,9	h2 (m):	10
80	80,0	69,4	Interval (m):	10
90	90,0	71,9		
100	100,0	74,4	Intercept time (ms):	49,4
110	110,0	76,9		



### b) Thin intermediate layer



### b) Thin intermediate layer

Distance (m)	Direct wave (ms)	Interface 1 Refraction (ms)	Interface 2 Refraction (ms)
5	10	40,93	47,65
10	20	44,50	48,76
15	30	48,08	49,87
20	40	51,65	50,99
25	50	55,22	52,10
30	60	58,79	53,21
35	70	62,36	54,32
40	80	65,93	55,43
45	90	69,50	56,54
50	100	73,08	57,65
55	110	76,65	58,76
60	120	80,22	59,87
V1 (m/s)	500		
V2 (m/s)	1400		
V3 (m/s)	4500		
h1 (m)	10		
h2 (m)	5		
Interval (m)	5		

### Lateral velocity changes



# **Refraction Seismic**

# Application

### Interpretation of first picks



### Interpretation of first picks



### Interpretation of first picks



#### FU Berlin (2007)

### Translation into Geotechnical Subsurface Properties



### Translation into Geotechnical Subsurface Properties



### Translation into Geotechnical Subsurface Properties



# **Reflection Seismic**

Application

### **Editing and Muting**

- A) Head Wave
- B) Reflection
- C) Ground Roll

#### Undesired signals

- Head wave and surface noise
- Ground roll

#### Desired signals

• Reflection hyperbola



# **Reflection Seismics - Editing**

### **Editing Sequences**



### Filters

- Seismic and SPS files merge, with minimum filter application through Autocorrelation with pilot sweep.
- Decay compensation by scaling of (T^2.2).
- Despiking for high amplitude noise bursts attenuation.
- Wavelet transform filter (WTF) for coherent noise sources attenuation.
- 3D FK filter .
- Normal Moveout correction NMO.
- Automatic Gain Correction AGC.
- Random Noise Attenuation , Minimum Noise Attenuation.
- Phase correction for Onshore data, and Time-Phase shift correction for Offshore data.
- Fourier Transfor Filter (FTF).
- Stacking.
- Zero Phase filter application.
- Low filter migration.
- Deconvolution.
- Depth moveout correction DMO.

#### Parameters

Parameter	Method
Sesimic, SPS files	Data Merge
Time, Amplitude	*Autocorrelation
Time, Amplitude	*Decay compensation
Time, Frequency	Despiking
Time, Amplitude	WTF
Time,Frequency	3D FK filter
Time, Frequency	NMO
Time, Amplitude	*AGC
Time, Frequency	Noise attenuation
Time,Frequency	*Fourier Transform
Time, Amplitude	Stacking
Time, Frequency	Zero Phase filte
Time, Frequency	*Low filter migration
Time, Frequency	Deconvolution
Depth	*Depth moveout correction DMO.

### NMO Example

### Noise:

## application of a high pass filter (above 380 MHz):

- Air wave
- Rayleigh wave
- Ground roll
- Surface wave
- Refraction
- Head wave
- Multiple reflection
- Cultural noise



### **FK-Filter**

• The aim of this process is to attenuate the low frequency ground roll energy.



### **Raw Stack**



### Deconvolution



### Deconvolution

• Multiples are considered "coherent" noise or unwanted signal



### Spread Geometry and Shot Gathers



### End-shot traversing



### Split spread and midpoint source receiver combinations



### Common shot or receiver gather

- easy to inspect traces in these displays for bad receivers or bad shots
- Typical for basic quality assessment in field acquisition (e.g. marine seismics)



### Common offset gather, COFF

- Represents approximates a structural section
- Water table mapping
- No NMO required
- Used in amplitude variation analysis
- Near offset trace  $\rightarrow$  brute stack





- For horizontal reflectors, the reflection point is halfway between shot and receiver (at the "midpoint")
- The basic objective is to sample each subsurface point more than once
- The number of traces in a CMP gather is known as the "fold" of the surv
- The essence of CMP processing is:
  - 1. Resorting into CMP gathers
  - 2. Correction for moveout
  - 3. Summation, or "stacking"





- For horizontal reflectors, the reflection point is halfway between shot and receiver (at the "midpoint")
- The basic objective is to sample each subsurface point more than once
- The number of traces in a CMP gather is known as the "fold" of the surv
- The essence of CMP processing is:
  - 1. Resorting into CMP gathers
  - 2. Correction for moveout
  - 3. Summation, or "stacking"








#### Common midpoint gather, CMP – gone wrong



#### Common depth point gather, CDP

- With known velocities possible computation of the common depth point.
- Also used in amplitude variation analysis





#### Common depth point gather, CDP

- With known velocities possible computation of the common depth point.
- Also used in amplitude variation analysis



#### Common depth point gather, CDP













#### Fresnel zone



Fresnel zone





#### 3D fold coverage



#### **Field Area**

**OffShore survey** 

**OnShore survey** 





#### Common-Midpoint(CMP) and Normal Move Out



#### Normal Moveout Correction

The increase of the travel time with the offset of called Normal Moveout (NMO).

The correction to zero offset is called NMO correction or Common Midpoint (CMP) method. It is applied before stacking the seismic signals radiated by several sources or recorded by several geophones in order to improve the quality of the signal.

#### Normal Moveout Correction



#### Normal Move Out Correction

- Increase signal-to-noise
- If traces are summed together, the stack trace is referred to as its fold
- For common shot or receiver gathers, frequencies of long offsets will be "smeared"



#### NMO pit falls

Undercorrected poor stack response
NMO V = 2 km/s

2. Good stack response NMO V = 1.7 km/s

 Overcorrected poor stack response NMO V = 1.5 km/s



#### **Editing sequences**



#### Synthetic Seismogram and Depth Inversion



### Depth migration





FORMATION	Depth (m)	TWT (ms)	Average	2,200 2,300 2,400 2,500 2,600 2,700 2,800 2,900 3
	DIVISE	DIVIGL	velocity (III/S)	0.7
ZEIT	935	771	2,427	
SOUTH_GHARIB	1,374	1,044	2,632	0.9
BELAYIM	1,610	1,151	2,797	
KAREEM	1,861	1,268	2,936	11
UPPER RUDEIS	2,150	1,449	2,968	
LOWER RUDEIS	2,601	1,747	2,978	1.3
NUKHUL	3,420	2,224	3,076	1.5
EOCENE	3,464	2,244	3,087	
INTRUSION	3,491	2,256	3,095	17
THEBES (EOCENE)	3,573	2,291	3,119	
ESNA (PALEOCENE)	3,794	2,375	3,195	1.9
SUDR (U.SENONIAN)	3,830	2,391	3,204	2.1
LACOSTINA (BRWN LSTONE)	3,915	2,422	3,233	
MATULLA (L. SENONIAN)	3,983	2,448	3,254	2.3
WATA (TURONIAN)	4,088	2,495	3,277	
RAHA (CENOMANIAN)	4,210	2,539	3,316	2.5
NUBIA	4,310	2,583	3,337	27
TD	4,381			

000 3,100 3,200 3,300 3,400

#### Depth migration



#### Migration







Migrating the seismic image on to the plane of the reflector

#### Migration



Migration of stacked (ZSR) trace by Kirchhoff summation

#### Migration



Migration of a diffraction by Kirchhoff summation

### Migration



**Un-migrated Model** 

### Migration







#### • Seismiet metatio(migrated)



#### Seismic interpretation



#### Signal form



(source: Selley and Sonnenberg, 1985)







#### (source: Selley and Sonnenberg, 1985)

Table 2	
Shale	v = 2 300 m/s
Gas-saturated sand	v = 1 900 m/ s
Oil-saturated sand	v = 3 000 m/s
Water-saturated sand	v = 3 200 m/s






### Interpreting subsurface properties



seismic profile (Schroot and Schuttenhelm 2003 North sea

### Interpreting subsurface properties



#### Seismic interpretation



# **Refraction Seismics vs. Reflection Seismics**

#### Advantages of Reflection Seismics

- High spatial resolution
- Complex geological structures (non-planar interfaces) can in principle be resolved.
- Layers with lower velocities can also be detected.

#### Advantages of Refraction Seismics

- Relatively simple evaluation.
- Moderate requirements on seismic energy.

## **Borehole logging**



#### **FWS Probe**



#### Sonic Log - Vertical Seismic Profiling (VSP)



#### Sonic Log - acoustic imaging methods and FMI/FMS

- accurate characterisation of borehole breakouts and induced fractures (geometry, locations and orientation)
- improve wellbore stability modelling and well planning
- aid Frac-job design
- establish sealing potential of natural fractures

#### **Borehole Breakouts in Acoustic Image**





#### Drilling-induced Fractures in Resistivity Image



# Quelle: Schlumberger 2017

#### Scale of a wavelet

