Hazard, Risk and Prediction

Stefan Hergarten

Institut für Geo- und Umweltnaturwissenschaften Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg









Regional Earthquake Hazard

CEDIM Risk Explorer



Source: CEDIM Risk Explorer (KIT / GFZ Potsdam)

D



Regional Earthquake Risk



4 / 46

















Cumulative Frequency



Cumulative Frequency

F(s) = expected number of events with sizes $\geq s$

- Can be either considered for a given region (or worldwide) or per domain size (area).
- Can be either considered for a given time interval or per time.
- Often called frequency-magnitude relation.





Cumulative Frequency







Pareto Diagram of all Geohazards Since 1900







Pareto Diagram of all Geohazards Since 1900





$$f(s) = -F'(s)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} f(s) ds = F(s_1) - F(s_2)$$

is the expected number of events with sizes between s_1 and s_2 .









Frequency Density of Regolith Landslides



Cumulative Probability

$$P(s) = \frac{F(s)}{F(s_0)}$$

 $(s_0 = \text{smallest possible event size})$ is the probability that the size of a randomly picked event is $\geq s$.

- Often $s_0=0$ or $s_0=-\infty$
- In mathematics defined as the probability that a value drawn from a random distribution is ≤ s.



Probability Density

$$p(s) = -P'(s)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} p(s) ds = P(s_1) - P(s_2)$$

the is the probability that the size of a randomly picked event is between s_1 and s_2 .



Pareto Distribution

$$P(s) = \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{-b}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$p(s) = -P'(s)$$

$$= b s_0^b s^{-b-1}$$

$$= \frac{b}{s_0} \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{-(b+1)}$$



Exponential Distribution

$$P(s) = e^{-\lambda(s-s_0)}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$p(s) = \lambda e^{-\lambda(s-s_0)} = \lambda P(s)$$





Pareto Distribution vs. Exponential Distribution

Slope in diagram	Pareto Distribution	Exponential Distribution
axis scaling		
cumulative probability / frequency		
probability / frequency density		
logarithmically binned numbers		

Expected Value of Pareto Distribution

$$\overline{s} = \int_{s_0}^{\infty} p(s) s \, ds$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{b}{b-1} s_0 & b > 1\\ \infty & \text{for } b \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Expected Value of Exponential Distribution

$$\overline{s} = \int_{s_0}^{\infty} p(s) s \, ds = s_0 + \frac{1}{\lambda}$$





Statistical Tests

FREBURG

Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

Simplest test whether

- a given sample might come from a given statistical distribution
- two given samples might come from the same (unknown) statistical distribution

Properties:

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

- does not rely on a certain statistical distribution
- is not very sensitive

UNI FREBURG

Simple Example

3 farms produce apples with different colors at the following probabilities:

	Red	Green	Blue
farm 1	0.6	0.3	0.1
farm 2	0.3	0.4	0.3
farm 3	0.5	0.4	0.1

In a shop we find a sample of 3 red apples, 2 green apples, and 1 blue apple without declaration. Which is the most likely source of this sample?

Maximum-Likelihood Method

Concept

Starting point:

- Sample of n elements s_1, \ldots, s_n from a given distribution.
- Probability density p(s) depends on unknown parameters $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$.

Task: Find the most likely values of $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$.

Likelihood of the parameter set $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ = probability density for the given sample:

$$L(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(s_i)$$

Find $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ that maximizes $L(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$.





Technical Implementation

Minimize

$$-\ln L(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \ln p(s_i)$$

either numerically or by the condition(s)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \left(-\ln L(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \right) = 0$$





27 / 46





FREBURG

Theoretical Concept

T = time since the last event took place

Cumulative waiting-time distribution

- P(T) = probability that a period of quiescence has a length of at least T
 - = probability that there is no event until T

What is the meaning of

$$\lambda(T) = \frac{-\frac{d}{dT}P(T)}{P(T)} = \frac{1}{P(T)} = -\frac{d}{dT}$$
?



Theoretical Concept

General solution:

$$P(T) = e^{-\int_0^T \lambda(t) dt}$$

Simplest situation: $\lambda(T) = \text{const:}$

$$P(T) = e^{-\lambda T}$$

For which model $\lambda(T)$ are the waiting times Pareto-distributed?

Heavy-Tailed Distributions



Definition

Assume events (or waiting-times) with a given distribution P(s) and consider the expected value $\overline{s - s_0}$ for those events with $s \ge s_0$ for a given threshold size s_0 .

Light-tailed distribution: $\overline{s - s_0} \to 0$ for $s_0 \to \infty$ Heavy-tailed distribution: $\overline{s - s_0} \to \infty$ for $s_0 \to \infty$ Medium-tailed distribution: else





UNI FREBURG

Receiver Operating Characteristic Curves

Normalization:

```
P(TP) + P(FN) = 1
P(TN) + P(FP) = 1
```

ROC curve only describes the test, but not the probability that an event occurs.

```
Example: COVID-19 test at an incidence of 500 per 100000, P(TP) = 99\%, P(FP) = 1\%. What does a positive test mean?
```



Receiver Operating Characteristic Curves

Probabilities if q = probability of occurrence:

	alarm	no alarm
event	q P(TP)	q P(FN)
no event	(1-q) P(FP)	(1-q) P(TN)



Analysis of Benefit and Cost

$$R = q P(TP) (L - M + C) + q P(FN) L + (1 - q) P(FP) C = q L - q (M - C) P(TP) + (1 - q) C P(FP)$$

where

- R = total risk
- L = loss caused by an event
- M =
- *C* =



Debate in the Nature Magazine 1999

Topic: Is the reliable prediction of individual earthquakes a realistic scientific goal?

Extent: 26 contributions over 7 weeks

Outcomes concerning 4 levels of predictability:

Level	Target	Consensus
1	time-independent hazard	yes
2	time-dependent hazard	
(a)	earthquake cycle	no consensus
(b)	clustering of earthquakes	yes
3	intermediate (1–10 yr) to	not possible in
	short-term $(< 1{ m yr})$ forecasting	the near future
4	deterministic prediction	no



Earthquake Precursors

Two groups of precursors:

Seismic precursors: spatial and temporal pattern of seismicity

- Starting from analysis of foreshocks
- Several approaches; rather address intermediate-term (1–10 yr) forecasting than prediction

Non-seismic precursors: all other changes in the crust and the atmosphere that could announce an earthquake

- Gas emissions
- Water level changes in wells
- Electromagnetic signals
- . . .

The M8 Algorithm

- Aims at forecasting earthquakes with $M \ge 8.0$.
- Based on a retrospective analysis of the seismic patterns prior to earthquakes with $M \ge 8.0$.
- Considers 262 overlapping circles of 668 km radius in the regions where such earthquakes occurred.







The M8 Algorithm

• Derives 7 functions from the seismic activity in each circle.



Source: Ismail-Zadeh & Kossobokov, Encyclopedia of Solid Earth Geophysics, 2011

• Gives an alert (time of increased probability, TIP) for a 5-year period based on these functions.



The M8 Algorithm

- Modified version: M8-MSc (Mendocino Scenario)
- Performance over a 25 year period:

Version	Captured events	Total alarm
M8	13 out of 18	32.93 %
M8-MSc	10 out of 18	16.78%

Source: Ismail-Zadeh & Kossobokov, Encyclopedia of Solid Earth Geophysics, 2011



The VAN Method

- Developed by P. Varotsos, K. Alexopoulos, and K. Nomikos in the 1980s.
- Still the only non-seismic method that is continuously applied for short-term forecasting (some weeks).
- Based on transient variations in the electric potential measured dipoles of buried electrodes.



The VAN Method

• Apparently reasonable performance, but only a few systematic tests.









The Bak-Tang-Wiesenfeld (BTW) model





The Bak-Tang-Wiesenfeld (BTW) Model Source: Bak, How Nature Works



The Olami-Feder-Christensen (OFC) Model

